

SPE,

The formalities review needs  
completed on this allowance.

Thanks,  
Terry

## Class 705 Template Review

Serial No: \_\_\_\_\_  
Art Unit: \_\_\_\_\_

Date Returned to SPE: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date Reviewed: \_\_\_\_\_ by \_\_\_\_\_

SPE  
Initials  
If Agree

Reviewer  
Comment

### 1. Reasons for Allowance:

\_\_\_\_\_ Clear statement of Reasons for Allowance  
\_\_\_\_\_ Indicate how claims distinguish over the closest prior art  
\_\_\_\_\_ Claim groups of differing scope having a separate RFA

Comments:

### 2. Search Areas and Tools:

\_\_\_\_\_ US Classified Search  
\_\_\_\_\_ US Patents Text Search - EAST/WEST and/or STN USPATFULL  
\_\_\_\_\_ Foreign Patent Documents - WEST/Derwent WPI and/or Epoque II  
\_\_\_\_\_ NPL Search - PTO NPL, Dialog, STN, etc.  
\_\_\_\_\_ Internet Sites - (optional)

Comments:

### 3. Search Query:

\_\_\_\_\_ Text Search - Strategy to search concepts/key words  
\_\_\_\_\_ Separate strategies for each search area (US, Foreign, NPL)  
\_\_\_\_\_ Recordation of Search in file wrapper with annotation

Comments:

### 4. Reference Citations:

\_\_\_\_\_ Best art in each category (US, Foreign, NPL) listed on 892 or 1449  
\_\_\_\_\_ Clear indication of why the reference was cited

Comments:

# Class 705 Template Review

Serial No: 09/414,547  
 Art Unit: 3624

Date Returned to SPE: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date Reviewed: 1/7/04 by MELius

SPE Initials  
 If Agree

Reviewer Comment

SEE  
NOTES

## 1. Reasons for Allowance:

- Clear statement of Reasons for Allowance
- Indicate how claims distinguish over the closest prior art
- Claim groups of differing scope having a separate RFA

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

## 2. Search Areas and Tools:

- US Classified Search
- US Patents Text Search - EAST/WEST and/or STN USPATFULL
- Foreign Patent Documents - WEST/Derwent WPI and/or Epoque II
- NPL Search - PTO NPL, Dialog, STN, etc.
- Internet Sites - (optional)

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

## 3. Search Query:

- Text Search - Strategy to search concepts/key words
- Separate strategies for each search area (US, Foreign, NPL)
- Recordation of Search in file wrapper with annotation

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

## 4. Reference Citations:

- Best art in each category (US, Foreign, NPL) listed on 892 or 1449
- Clear indication of why the reference was cited

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

## 5. Other Problems:

- Sensitive Application Warning system issues
- Broad claims
- 35 USC 101 Issues, including "not in the technological arts".

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Incomplete -  
See Notes

**Please Complete and Return This Sheet To The QAS Box After Disposition**

Must be within 2 weeks of receiving QAS comments

Disposition Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Disposition:

(circle one) →

Reopened

Sent to Pubs

Other Explain: \_\_\_\_\_



09/414,547 (1-7-04)

1)

1) Part "B" of the RFA is not set forth in any claims (that were found).

MISC.)

1) The claims set forth on the NOA do not come close to matching the INDEX OF CLAIMS. The Examiner first needs to clean up the INDEX to match the NOA (or vice versa).

2) Also, where is amendment "B"? The reviewer cannot find amended claim 1 nor new claims 67-77.

3) The independent claims which were found appear fine, just cannot find claim 1 or the other claims listed.